Pre-Order Now! "The Real RFK Jr: Trials of a Truth Warrior"

07/05/23 • COVID • BIG TECH › NEWS

Citing 'Orwellian' Tactics, Federal Judge Orders White House to Stop Censoring Americans' Social Media Posts

In his 155-page ruling, Judge Terry Doughty said there is "substantial evidence" the government violated the First Amendment by engaging in a large-scale censorship campaign targeting content that questioned or countered establishment narratives on COVID-19.

By **Michael Nevradakis, Ph.D.**

28



Miss a day, miss a lot. *Subscribe to The Defender's Top News of the Day.* ***It's free.***

In a landmark ruling Tuesday, a federal judge temporarily barred several Biden administration officials and federal agencies from communicating with social media platforms.

In his 155-page ruling, Judge Terry Doughty of the U.S. District Court for the Western District of Louisiana Monroe Division said there is "substantial evidence" the government violated the First Amendment by engaging in a large-scale censorship campaign targeting content that questioned or countered establishment narratives on COVID-19.

Doughty said the "evidence produced thus far depicts an almost dystopian scenario."

He added:

> "If the allegations made by Plaintiffs are true, the present case arguably involves the most massive attack against free speech in United States' history. In their attempts to suppress alleged disinformation, the Federal Government, and particularly the Defendants named here, are alleged to have blatantly ignored the First Amendment's right to free speech. …
>
> "During the COVID-19 pandemic, a period perhaps best characterized by widespread doubt and uncertainty, the United States Government seems to have assumed a role similar to an Orwellian 'Ministry of Truth.'"

Doughty granted the injunction as part of a lawsuit filed in May 2022 by the attorneys general of Missouri and Louisiana along with several medical experts and journalists who claimed social media platforms censored their views, which ran counter to the official government narrative.

The lawsuit alleged the Biden administration and federal agencies collaborated with and "significantly encourage[d] Big Tech companies to suppress such speech" by pressuring them to engage in a "censorship-by-proxy scheme."

Children's Health Defense (CHD) and its chairman on leave, Robert F. Kennedy Jr., are plaintiffs in a similar case — a class action lawsuit filed in March. The lawsuit, which alleges a "systematic, concerted campaign" of censorship by the Biden administration and federal government, is pending before the same court.

In Tuesday's ruling (page 17), Judge Doughty referenced Kennedy, mentioning the Biden administration's efforts to remove tweets and other social media content Kennedy posted during the pandemic, and Kennedy's inclusion in the so-called "Disinformation Dozen" by the Center for Countering Digital Hate and the Virality Project.



Robert F. Kennedy Jr
@RobertKennedyJr · Follow

Federal judge orders President Biden to stop censoring his critics including me. The decision mentions me on page 17. Happy Independence Day Everyone!

wsj.com
Judge Rules Biden Administration Likely Trampled on Free Speech on …
The preliminary injunction orders federal agencies to refrain from pressuring social-media companies on censorship.

Last edited2:26 PM · Jul 4, 2023

83.9K Reply Share

Read 2.1K replies

Commenting on Tuesday's ruling, Kennedy told The Defender:

> "This is one of the most important First Amendment cases in our nation's history. Missouri v. Biden concerns every American regardless of party affiliation, political ideology, personal beliefs or religion.
>
> "Freedom of speech has been the central foundation stone of our democracy since our nation's birth. An American president has no right to wield White House power to silence his critics. These actions were anathema to our core American values and a disappointment to all those around the globe who see America as the world's exemplary democracy."

Louisiana Attorney General Jeff Landry called the ruling "historic" and said it prevents the Biden administration from "censoring the core political speech of ordinary Americans" on social media.

In a statement shared with The Defender, Landry said:

> "The evidence in our case is shocking and offensive, with senior federal officials deciding that they could dictate what Americans can and cannot say on Facebook, Twitter, YouTube, and other platforms about COVID-19, elections, criticism of the government, and more.
>
> "Today's historic ruling is a big step in the continued fight to prohibit our government from unconstitutional censorship. We look forward to continuing to litigate the case and will vigorously defend the injunction on appeal."

The injunction names several Biden administration officials and federal agencies, prohibiting them from having any discussions with social media platforms with the purpose of "encouraging, pressuring, or inducing in any manner the removal, deletion, suppression, or reduction of content containing protected free speech."

The ruling does not prohibit the Biden administration and federal agencies from communicating with social media companies regarding criminal activities and potential cyber crimes, national security threats, voter suppression efforts, threats to public safety or other content not protected by free speech.

Agencies named include the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS), the National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases, the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, the Department of Justice (DOJ), the U.S. Department of Homeland Security (DHS), the U.S. Department of State and the Cybersecurity and Infrastructure Security Agency.

Administration officials named in the suit include Surgeon General Vivek Murthy, HHS Director Xavier Becerra, DHS Secretary Alejandro Mayorkas, White House Press Secretary Karine Jean-Pierre and all employees of the DOJ and FBI.

Doughty specifically listed Facebook/Meta, Twitter, YouTube/Google, WhatsApp, Instagram, WeChat and TikTok in his ruling, which includes a carve-out allowing the government to communicate with these platforms in relation to posts containing criminal activity or national security threats.

Ruling gains wide applause

In a Twitter post following the ruling, Sen. Eric Schmitt (R-Mo.), who was Missouri's attorney general when the lawsuit was filed in 2022, said, "Today's court win is a huge win for the First Amendment and a blow to censorship."

Missouri Attorney General Andrew Bailey tweeted: "The Court has granted our motion to BLOCK top officials in the federal government from violating the First Amendment rights of millions of Americans."



Attorney General Andrew Bailey
@AGAndrewBailey · Follow

Happy birthday America. You get your First Amendment back!!!🇺🇸

11:43 AM · Jul 4, 2023

1K Reply Share

Read 98 replies

In remarks shared with The Defender, several legal, public health and media experts applauded Doughty's ruling.

Dr. Aaron Kheriaty, a psychiatrist and director of the Bioethics and American Democracy Program at the Ethics and Public Policy Center who is one of the plaintiffs in the case, told The Defender:

> "The United States Constitution is something of a miracle. But unless we defend it, it's just a piece of paper.
>
> "This case is an important part of that effort, and it's no accident that the judge released the ruling on the Fourth of July. It's extremely rare for court rulings to be released on federal holidays. Yesterday's injunction was an important step on a long road to the Supreme Court … Yesterday's ruling marks the beginning of the end of the censorship leviathan."

Responding to Doughty's statement that "Viewpoint discrimination is an especially egregious form of content discrimination" that goes "beyond party lines," Kheriaty added:

> "Contrary to some of the media framing, This is not a partisan issue. The judge granted our injunction, because what the government has been doing clearly violates the highest law of the land, namely, the United States Constitution.
>
> "It's not a left/right issue. It's a legal/illegal issue. The government's behavior has been criminal. Full stop."

Kim Mack Rosenberg, acting general counsel for CHD, described Doughty's ruling as "an important step to restore the fundamental right of every American to not only speak freely but to listen to and analyze a variety of viewpoints."

She added:

> "As an American and on behalf of CHD, I am incredibly humbled that Judge Terry Doughty issued his judgment supporting free speech on Independence Day. Free speech is a cornerstone of our Bill of Rights and Judge Doughty has spoken out strongly and bravely in favor of preventing infringement on those rights in this age of social media.
>
> "Truly and sadly, Americans have been deprived of not only their right to speak out about controversial issues — especially in the past three years — but also of the crucially important right to hear and assess various viewpoints on issues essential to our freedoms."

W. Scott McCollough, an Austin-based internet and telecommunications lawyer, said Tuesday's ruling was "a shining light." McCollough said the ruling "recognizes freedom" and that "the government can't do what it was doing with these social media companies," which he said have "effectively become government agents."

Mark Crispin Miller, Ph.D., professor of media studies at New York University, called the decision "good news," adding, "Under the First Amendment, the government may not collude with corporations — including 'social media' companies — to limit the free speech of citizens. To put it more bluntly, such collusion is, quite literally, fascistic."

Dr. Meryl Nass, a member of CHD's scientific advisory committee, said that although this case is not yet completed, its ramifications are "tremendously important."

Nass said revelations coming from this case and from the "Twitter files" expose "the extraordinary, multibillion-dollar-per-year censorship apparatus installed within DHS and outsourced to academic centers, nonprofits and for-profit companies."

Similarly, independent journalist Paul D. Thacker, who released several installments of the "Twitter files," told The Defender the ruling also has implications for the collusion of academic institutions with the federal government and social media platforms under the guise of combating "misinformation" and "disinformation."

"I think what we don't realize yet is how critical some parts of academia have become to the censorship industry," Thacker said. "Academia has been harnessed to help censor Americans. I think that's really being overlooked."

Technology expert Michael Rectenwald, Ph.D., author of "Google Archipelago: The Digital Gulag and the Simulation of Freedom" and a former New York University liberal studies professor, told The Defender:

> "These social media firms have never been strictly private, free-market enterprises. No one can credibly say, 'but they are private companies; they can censor whatever and whoever they want' … [when they] have been operated like ventriloquist dummies by the Biden regime.
>
> "As I pointed out over four years ago in 'Google Archipelago,' these Big Digital goliaths are state apparatuses and have been since their inception. They are funded by the state, staffed by former state agents, and do the state's bidding …
>
> "The collusion between the government and these corporations amounts to fascism."

In an article published in The Gateway Pundit, Jim Hoft, the site's founder and editor-in-chief, called the ruling an "Independence Day victory," and said that the federal government has, until now, "been focused on obfuscating and stonewalling evidence on the one hand, and engaging in numerous tactics to extricate itself from the lawsuit."

"Now that the government lost its main effort to crush us, the real war begins," Hoft wrote. "Having lost this crucial battle, the Government will be compelled to engage in full, 'general' discovery," a process in which he said the plaintiffs will "conduct a wide-ranging investigation into the Government's fascist conspiracy with Big Tech."

A 'hit' against 'White House effort to curb online health misinfo'

No official response has come from the Biden administration or the Big Tech platforms named in the lawsuit as of this writing.

An unnamed White House official told The Associated Press on condition of anonymity that the DOJ "will evaluate its options in this case," adding that "This administration has promoted responsible actions to protect public health, safety, and security when confronted by challenges like a deadly pandemic and foreign attacks on our elections."

"Our consistent view remains that social media platforms have a critical responsibility to take account of the effects their platforms are having on the American people, but make independent choices about the information they present," the official stated.

Along similar lines, KFF Health News described the ruling as a "hit" against the "White House effort to curb online health misinfo."

In a tweet, Landry said he expects the federal government to appeal, but that he and Bailey "will aggressively defend" the case.

"We're not done yet. We're just getting started," Landry wrote, adding that the case may eventually be heard before the U.S. Supreme Court.

Judge: Plaintiffs 'likely to succeed on the merits'

The lawsuit was filed May 5, 2022. New Civil Liberties Alliance, a nonprofit group representing critics of COVID-19 vaccines and restrictions including Drs. Jay Bhattacharya, Martin Kulldorff, Kheriaty and Jill Hines, joined the suit in August 2022, as did Hoft.

The complaint alleges the Biden administration colluded with platforms such as Twitter, Meta, YouTube, Instagram and LinkedIn to "suppress disfavored speakers, viewpoints and content" on topics such as COVID-19, election integrity, and the Hunter Biden laptop scandal, to prevent the spread of "misinformation" and "disinformation."

Dr. Anthony Fauci and several Biden administration officials provided sworn depositions as part of the ongoing lawsuit.

According to CNN, "Though Doughty hasn't yet ruled on the merits of the two states' claims, his order … represents their most significant victory yet in the ongoing lawsuit."

However, in the memorandum accompanying his ruling, Doughty wrote the plaintiffs are "likely to succeed on the merits in establishing that the Government has used its power to silence the opposition," adding:

> "Opposition to COVID-19 vaccines; opposition to COVID-19 masking and lockdowns; opposition to the lab-leak theory of COVID-19; opposition to the validity of the 2020 election; opposition to President Biden's policies; statements that the Hunter Biden laptop story was true; and opposition to policies of the government officials in power. All were suppressed."

Doughty rejected arguments by Biden administration lawyers, who, according to the AP, argued the lawsuit aims to "suppress the speech of federal government officials under the guise of protecting the speech rights of others."

Government lawyers also claimed the injunction "would significantly hinder" the government's ability to "provide accurate information to the public on matters of grave public concern such as health care and election integrity."

Doughty previously refused to dismiss the lawsuit. In a March 20 ruling, he found the plaintiffs' claims were plausible and worthy of further litigation, allowing the plaintiffs to collect evidence, including emails sent between the White House and social media companies.

"This censorship was encouraged — perhaps even mandated — by the Biden Administration and several key governmental departments," Doughty wrote in March.

At present, no date appears to have been set for a final ruling regarding the injunction.

In November 2022, CHD and other organizations and individuals moved to intervene in the suit on behalf of the public interest and their respective organizations: CHD, Mercola.com, The Truth About Vaccines and The Truth About Cancer.

Kennedy, Dr. Joseph Mercola and Charlene and Ty Bollinger were among the 12 individuals singled out by the Center for Countering Digital Hate as belonging to "The Disinformation Dozen" due to content they shared online regarding vaccines.

They are seeking open public access to critical sworn depositions and documents from the Missouri v. Biden case, already produced on behalf of plaintiffs, for use in other litigation, such as CHD's March 2023 lawsuit against the Biden administration.

McCollough told The Defender the lawsuit is one of several cases before the courts with significant implications for the future of free speech in the U.S.

These cases include two pending U.S. Supreme Court cases involving Section 230 — which gives internet providers legal protections for hosting, moderating and removing most user content, two cases involving state laws restricting social media censorship, and the ongoing Missouri v. Biden and CHD lawsuits against the federal government.

McCollough said the Supreme Court's June 30 decision in 303 Creative LLC v. Elenis, which found that the First Amendment prohibited the State of Colorado from compelling a website creator to create a website with messages that violate her values, is "very much connected" to the other ongoing cases.

As a result of the 303 decision and the social media cases which are pending, courts "will finally figure out" what the role of the social media platforms is in regulating speech and working on behalf of the government, he said.

McCollough said the outcome of these cases will "determine where this country goes, whether we will indeed succumb to this global set of societies, all under the thumb of a group of global elites who control information, the economy and every aspect of our lives."

Subscribe to The Defender – It's Free!
First Name.. Last Name.. Email.. SIGN UP

SUGGEST A CORRECTION

Michael Nevradakis, Ph.D.
Michael Nevradakis, Ph.D., based in Athens, Greece, is a senior reporter for The Defender and part of the rotation of hosts for CHD.TV's "Good Morning CHD."

Sign up for free news and updates from Children's Health Defense. CHD focuses on legal strategies to defend the health of our children and obtain justice for those injured. We can't do it without your support

Republishing Guidelines

Children's Health Defense Comment Policy
We welcome relevant and respectful comments. Off-topic comments may be removed.
Please read our Comment Policy before commenting.

Got it

28 Comments Login

Join the discussion…

LOG IN WITH OR SIGN UP WITH DISQUS ?

Name

14 • Share Best Newest Oldest

d-dectiri
17 hours ago
Halleluia for a decent judge.... hope springs eternally.. ttyl
25 0 Reply • Share ›

Leslie Taylor
16 hours ago edited
Yesterday, July 4th, I was riding my bike through the park along the river Green Belt in Idaho. I saw a small sign pointing to the Democratic Party's picnic and went there. It was a bit before noon, there were few in attendance and things were still being pulled together. I spoke with the party chair and another individual telling them of my considerable participation as Democratic Party activist in Colorado 7 years ago. I won't bore anyone here with the long list of my activities, but as a result it was asked of me at the time if I might be interested in running for a political office. I was not. I thoroughly enjoyed being an activist and wanted to continue as activist. I told the party chair and the other person that in the last election I voted republican straight down the list. Referring to all the censoring and destructive mandates I said the US has become Stalinist America. I added that beautiful Colorado, tragically, was one of the most oppressed states and is among the highest of the CV-19 vaxxed populations. Not surprising given that Jared Polis, the governor of Colorado, like Canada's PM Justin Trudeau and others, is a WEF graduate. I didn't stay, and I could see my comments were not appreciated, understandably. However, I did conclude by stating how glad and again hopeful I am about our nation's future given that Robert F. Kennedy Jr. is running for president and has a good chance, lots of support, despite his being heavily censored. To learn here of Judge Terry Doughty's July 4th ruling further increases my hope.
23 0 Reply • Share ›

Pilgrim → Leslie Taylor
15 hours ago
Good for you to give the Dems an earful. They need to hear how others are experiencing their oppressive form of leadership.
8 0 Reply • Share ›

Jeanette Davis → Leslie Taylor
15 hours ago
I like Kennedy, but wish he where Republican, and joined forces with Trump. That would be an un beatable team. ▯
7 0 Reply • Share ›

Deirdre I Hobson → Jeanette Davis
10 hours ago
Thats an insult to RFK Jnr! join Trump??
1 0 Reply • Share ›

Christine Jahnig
17 hours ago
OMG! I am so very pleased to read this. The last two years gave me a taste of what it must be like to live in North Korea or Russia, and I can tell you I did NOT like it.
19 0 Reply • Share ›

bjo59 → Christine Jahnig
16 hours ago
More like China than Russia, to put a fine point on it. China's social credit system is very far advanced and so is its surveillance of its population. Censorship of any information it deems deleterious to its own agendas is a central tool of the Chinese government and it even has "censorship privileges" over many Hollywood movies (see "The Red Carpet: Hollywood, China, and the Global Battle for Cultural Supremacy," 2022, by Erich Schwartzel). Of course the Russian government censors too, just like the US government does; however, China is way out ahead of everyone (except maybe North Korea!).
8 0 Reply • Share ›

Vivienne
17 hours ago
This counts as good news! May justice prevail!
18 0 Reply • Share ›

Gary Brown
17 hours ago
Apr 17, 2020 Orwell's final warning - Picture of the future

George Orwell Final Words

see more
17 0 Reply • Share ›

George Chyz
16 hours ago
Great article! A giant thank you to Judge Doughty! Going forward, I pray for RFK Jr. in hopes that he can help to guide our country out of fascism and back to prosperity, freedom, health and peace.
13 0 Reply • Share ›

Deirdre I Hobson → George Chyz
9 hours ago edited
ADD to George Chyz comment

and that RFK Jnr removes all the Bio weapon labS in the World that have made so many people so ill and destroyed so many lives, and continue to do so in ALL parts of the World, made human beings chronically ill for the rest of their and their families lives, DESTROYED their lives, and I especially refer to the LAB RATS in many Countries over many years, long before the PLANdemic.

REMOVE ALL the Military bases and STOP the Warmongering and the resulting MILLIONS of deaths and disabilities inflcited in the World, maiming, etc.,

Completely restructure UN, WHO, too many to list here

Severe ties with the CABAL and restructure the banking system. 77

You are so fortunate to have the quality of man in Robert F Kennedy Jnr who has offered himself in these times especially. He has a mountain of work to be done and is a human being who is well qualified to do it - in so very many areas of lie.

Americans - do NOT "balls" this opportunity up!!! 7

Get involved in your Country and Question and take Action. Even if its writing letters to your Politicians, or going to their office. Volunteer to RFK Jnr office.
4 0 Reply • Share ›

Pilgrim
16 hours ago edited
I'm not a Trump fan in the greater scheme of things, but will give credit where it is due. Thank you President Trump for nominating Judge Terry A. Doughty. Due to your choice in selecting this judge, our Constitution has been upheld today. Blessing to you!

Terry A. Doughty is the chief judge of the United States District Court for the Western District of Louisiana. He was nominated to the court by President Donald Trump (R) on August 3, 2017, and confirmed by the U.S. Senate on a recorded vote of 98-0 on March 6, 2018.[1]
12 0 Reply • Share ›

Patricia Sonne
13 hours ago
Michael Nevradakis –
I'm so pleased to hear of this ruling and am hopeful! Similar to Thacker, I see academia's multi-faceted collusion with the federal government as being grossly overlooked thus far.

Brenda Baletti included in her CHD 4/28/23 article, "Important Conversations Never Had:

Donate Now
You make the difference.

Latest News


Citing 'Orwellian' Tactics, Federal Judge Orders White House to Stop Censoring Americans' Social Media Posts

Walensky Warns Public to Beware of 'Misinformation' and 'Politicized Science'

Why 'We'll All Feel the Sting' if Pollinators Disappear

MORE NEWS

Latest Views

Dr. Paul Offit Lets Us Know 'the Experts' Have Officially Lost Their Minds

Largest Genetically Engineered Salmon Farm Set to Resume Construction in August — Let's Hope It Doesn't

Big Tech Is Ramping Up Censorship of the Climate 'Solutions' Debate

MORE VIEWS

Get FREE News & Updates!
First Name..
Last Name..
Email..
SIGN UP

Get Text Alerts
Sign up for breaking news from The Defender.
Currently only eligible for numbers in the U.S. and Canada.
1234567890
Phone
REQUIRED. Phone number must be in a standard 10-digit format: 1234567890 or 123-456-7890
CONTINUE

Colleges Quietly End Mandates Without Addressing Harms," a link to a list of US colleges with and without vax mandates for undergraduates https://docs.google.com/spr... (though previously https://docs.google.com/spr... ?).

The list contains various links, some of which show how much "covid money" particular schools received. I expect you can discover the details; I only recall that many schools received billions.

Echoes of the money granted to hospitals. Time-honored American institutions trafficking in human lives. We can do better. Thanks for your efforts toward a better way,

9 0 Reply • Share ›

Gringo
15 hours ago

Judge Terry Doughty, Uphold the Constitution and LAW!

9 0 Reply • Share ›

Douglas Jack
16 hours ago

'AT LAST!' Thank you CHD & al for this 'small' victory perhaps of a more open communication with less censorship among us, but for how long?
THE BATTLE AIN'T OVER YET. Few remember the depth of real Free Speech in Multiple aspects of living, once expressed as the interdisciplinary Indigenous CIRCLE-of-LIFE, which provided checks & balances during the temporary loss of any of these functions over many 10s of 1000s of year of vibrant, abundant, distributed wealth in loving societies.
https://sites.google.com/si...
FREE SPEECH AS A COMPOSITE OF MULTIPLE MUTUALLY SUPPORTIVE FACTORS (i.e. not just the court or legislature)
1) All humanity's worldwide 'indigenous' (Latin 'self-generating') ancestors cultivated COUNCIL PROCESS with Both-sided, Equal-time, Recorded & Published Dialogue as the basis for Collaborative Agreement & Conflict Resolution. With legal processes distributed from the bottom up in every interpersonal relationship from friends, family, extended-family, multihome, village, city, region, nation, confederacy, work, study etc.
https://sites.google.com/si...
2) 'MEDIA' (L. 'medium' = 'middle') implied expressing all sides to issues for open resolution. Distributed ownership at multiple levels of society & 'economy' (Greek 'oikos' = 'home' + 'namein' = 'care-&-nurture') was maintained through ownership by each & all in Economic

see more

8 0 Reply • Share ›

yashu10
7 hours ago

We are finally starting to turn this ship around. It's way over due.

5 0 Reply • Share ›

zorba1
7 hours ago

Great starting victory,, but our government hates the truth. The fight against our corrupt government continues. Now lets take note of who fight's against this ruling. We need to get rid of them.

5 0 Reply • Share ›

zorba1
7 hours ago

Why are social media platforms considered private? If they sell stocks to the public they should be public corporations, I thought.

3 0 Reply • Share ›

E AK
10 hours ago

Some extremely needed hope for this country, our constitution & its primary rights. So heavily & continuously amended &/or ignored to the point it's almost been completely nullified. How can we ever thank all involved in bringing this issue to the forefront for & the courage, time, effort, cost...of the lawsuits. TYVVVVM!!!!!

3 0 Reply • Share ›

thejuneauraven
11 hours ago

The left won't obey a ruling in opposition to their agenda. Force is the only action they understand, and it just may come to that soon.

3 0 Reply • Share ›

George Washington
15 hours ago

An administration that violated the 1st Amendment of millions of Americans will not obey a lowly Federal judge's order. The judge is obviously delusional thinking we are still a country of law and order. Let's pray for his safety after such a noble gesture.

4 1 Reply • Share ›

Ruth Butros
3 hours ago

So glad to see this!! I wasn't aware of the "Cybersecurity and Infrastructure Security Agency" title, but assumed there was such a federal department. In the county where I worked until retirement, a "security" department was initiated and tasked with investigating perspective employees and volunteers, though there was an HR department that had done this work effectively until the department was established in this branch of county government. The highly paid employees actually went to the homes of neighbors and asked questions about job applicants, and combed through social media posts and pictures. Some very qualified people were eliminated due to their pasts, and I was very aware that some of the investigators themselves would have been disqualified years ago, were anyone to "investigate" them. When I questioned the subjective nature of this activity, I was ignored. There needs to be a full house cleaning of these spies, from the federal level to municipalities, and full accounting of what all this idiocy is costing.

1 0 Reply • Share ›

Jeb
3 hours ago

On a side note: (Please JFK Jr., add this to your long list of issues): America has become China's Out House:
https://articles.mercola.co...

1 0 Reply • Share ›

Cletus VanDam
an hour ago

Oh, NOOOOOOO!!!!! "Misinformation" will now run rampant on Social Media. Folks will now have to decide for themselves, not the government, what information is truth and what is BS/propaganda.

0 0 Reply • Share ›

Critical Thinker
an hour ago edited

This is a good win. It prevents the government from using social media platforms as proxies for their censorship. Unfortunately — That still leaves all the privately run platforms. I've been censored by The Center For Inquiry and others because they've drank the kool aid along with the big platforms. I'd like to see a boycott list for such platforms.

0 0 Reply • Share ›

DLT88
2 hours ago

Refreshing to see someone in a high position finally say and do all this! Free speech in the US has been threatened for a long time. I remember protesting back in 2000 against the war, and we (unarmed) people were met with cops in riot gear to try and intimidate us to back down. So free speech hasn't fully existed in this country really. And it got to the point we see now where, if you tell the truth or doubts about this scamdemic, you are blocked from that platform. I've been blocked from 3 of them including the NextDoor site so I can't even see posts from my neighbors because I warned about the fiveeegeeee in the streetlights. I was blocked and had to agree NOT to discuss that anymore --- I REFUSED to be censored.

0 0 Reply • Share ›

Les Preston
2 hours ago

President Biden took an oath to uphold the constitution when he entered office. Yet he clearly violated it. How will they hold him accountable? Fine him? Throw him in jail... for treason? Kick him out of office? Give him a slap on the wrist and let him carry on business as usual?
To quote RFK Jr "Our founding fathers understood that a government that can silence its critics has a license for every atrocity."
It is so frustrating to see the government, billionaires and huge companies not being held accountable for so many of the unethical things they are doing.

0 0 Reply • Share ›

Andrew Michael Turner
10 hours ago

This will change nothing. All is does is stop the government getting involved in censorship. The social media companies are quite capable of censoring content on their own, and with both government and the media being owned by the same parasites I predict that nothing will change.

0 0 Reply • Share ›

Children's Health Defense®

Children's Health Defense® is a 501(c)(3) non-profit organization. Our mission is to end childhood health epidemics by working aggressively to eliminate harmful exposures, hold those responsible accountable and establish safeguards to prevent future harm. We fight corruption, mass surveillance and censorship that put profits before people as well as advocate for worldwide rights to health freedom and bodily autonomy.

Donations are tax deductible to the full extent of the law. EIN #26-0388604

We use cookies to ensure that we give you the best experience on our website. You consent to our cookies if you continue to use our website. OK Privacy Policy